

# Public Document Pack



Town Hall  
Trinity Road  
Bootle  
L20 7AE

Date: 10 December 2013  
Our Ref: O&S (R&ES)  
Your Ref:

**Please contact:** Ruth Harrison  
**Contact Number:** 0151 934 2042  
**Fax No:** 0151 934 2034  
**e-mail:**  
ruth.harrison@sefton.gov.uk

Dear Councillor

## **OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE (REGENERATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES) - TUESDAY 17TH DECEMBER, 2013**

I refer to the agenda for the above meeting and now enclose the following addendum in relation to an additional call-in received which was unavailable when the agenda was printed.

<b>Agenda No.</b>	<b>Item</b>
3.	<b>Item Called - In - Library Review - Alternative Library Proposals: Second Gateway Assessments (Pages 3 - 4)</b> Report of the Director of Older People

Yours sincerely,

G. BAYLISS

Director of Corporate Services

This page is intentionally left blank

## **ADDENDUM TO BE PLACED AND CONSIDERED WITH THE REPORT TO:-**

Overview and Scrutiny Committee  
(Regeneration & Environmental Services)

**Date of Meeting:** 17 December 2013

**Subject:** Item Called-In – Library Review – Alternative Library Proposals 2<sup>nd</sup>  
Gateway Assessments

---

### **1. Purpose/Summary**

To advise the Overview and Scrutiny Committee of the following additional call-in requisition received in relation to the decision of the Cabinet on the above item as set out in paragraph 2.3 to the main report.

### **2. Details of the Call-In of the Cabinet Decision**

2.1 The following Members of the Council (who are not Members of the Cabinet) signed the requisition, in accordance with the provisions of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Procedure Rules in Part 4 of the Council's Constitution.

Councillor Brodie-Browne  
Councillor Robertson  
Councillor Tonkiss

2.2 In the requisition for the call-in, the following reason was given:-

“We wish to call-in the decision that the proposals from Aintree Library Action Group and Friends of Carnegie Library had failed the second gateway on the following grounds:

(1) to seek more understanding of the decision that the proposals did not demonstrate financial sustainability;

(2) to question the soundness of the decision on the grounds that not all the facts were taken into account in respect of the financial sustainability of the bids;

(3) to recommend that Cabinet reconsiders the decision on the grounds that the proposals do, in fact, demonstrate adequate financial sustainability.”

2.3 For the purposes of the Meeting the above call in shall be considered as the 2<sup>nd</sup> call-in.

2.4 The call-in requisition as set out in paragraph 2.2 above was received on Tuesday 10 December 2013.

This page is intentionally left blank